

SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Minutes of a meeting of the Planning Committee held on
Wednesday, 14 November 2018 at 10.00 a.m.

PRESENT: Councillor John Batchelor – Chairman
Councillor Pippa Heylings – Vice-Chairman

Councillors: Dr. Martin Cahn Peter Fane
Bill Handley Dr. Tumi Hawkins (substitute)
Brian Milnes Deborah Roberts
Peter Topping Heather Williams
Nick Wright

Officers in attendance for all or part of the meeting:

Julie Ayre (Planning Team Leader (East)), Rachael Forbes (Planning Officer), John Koch (Planning Team Leader (West)), Karen Pell-Coggins (Principal Planning Officer), Stephen Reid (Senior Planning Lawyer), David Roberts (Principal Planning Policy Officer), Dean Scrivener (Planning Project Officer), Ian Senior (Democratic Services Officer), Charles Swain (Principal Planning Enforcement Officer), Alison Twyford (Senior Planning Officer) and Rebecca Ward (Principal Planning Officer)

Councillors Henry Batchelor, Steve Hunt and Eileen Wilson were in attendance, by invitation.

1. APOLOGIES

Councillor Judith Rippeth sent Apologies for Absence. Councillor Dr. Tumi Hawkins was present as substitute.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Dr. Martin Cahn declared a non-pecuniary interest in Minute 7 (S/1486/18/FL - Impington (Land to the rear of 49-83 (odds) Impington Lane, Impington, CB24 9NJ)). Councillor Cahn's wife is a member of Impington Parish Council. Councillor Cahn is a member of the Royal Town Planning Institute (RTPI) of which another member would be addressing the meeting as a public speaker.

Councillor Dr. Tumi Hawkins declared a non-pecuniary interest in Minute 9 (S/2866/18/VC - Little Gransden (Land at Gransden Lodge Airfield, Cambridge Gliding Club Ltd, Gransden Lodge Airfield, Longstowe Road)). Councillor Hawkins had been present at Parish Council meetings at which this application had been discussed, but was considering the matter afresh.

Councillor Pippa Heylings declared a non-pecuniary interest in Minute 7 (S/1486/18/FL - Impington (Land to the rear of 49-83 (odds) Impington Lane, Impington, CB24 9NJ)). Councillor Heylings had met with residents, the developer and other interested parties about this application but was considering the matter afresh.

Councillor Heather Williams declared the following non-pecuniary interests

- Minute 9 (S/2866/18/VC - Little Gransden (Land at Gransden Lodge Airfield, Cambridge Gliding Club Ltd, Gransden Lodge Airfield, Longstowe Road)). Four of the parishes affected by the application were located in Councillor Williams' ward.

She had attended meetings of each of those Parish Councils but did not take part in any of the debates.

- Minute 12 paragraph 5(g) (Enforcement – 147 St. Neots Road, Hardwick). The landlords of no. 147 are also landlords of the neighbouring property, which is the headquarters building of the political association of which Councillor Williams is Vice-Chairman.

Councillor Nick Wright declared a non-pecuniary interest in Minute 9 (S/2866/18/VC - Little Gransden (Land at Gransden Lodge Airfield, Cambridge Gliding Club Ltd, Gransden Lodge Airfield, Longstowe Road)). Councillor Wright had been present at Parish Council meetings at which this application had been discussed but was considering the matter afresh.

3. RECORDED VOTING

Pending the issue of recorded voting at Planning Committee being addressed by the Constitution, Councillor Deborah Roberts proposed that all substantive votes be recorded.

Councillor Nick Wright seconded the proposal and, upon a show of hands, the Committee **agreed unanimously** that all substantive votes at the current Planning Committee meeting should be recorded by name and / or number and name.

4. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The Committee authorised the Chairman to sign, as a correct record, the Minutes of the meeting held on 10 October 2018 subject to the following:

Minute 2 – Declarations of Interest

In the second paragraph, replace the words "...spoken at..." with the word "...attended..." so that that paragraph now reads as follows:

Regarding Minute 5 (S/1694/18/FL - Wimpole (Wimpole Hall, Wimpole Park, Wimpole Road)) Councillor Heather Williams had attended meetings of Arrington Parish Council about this application.

Minute 7 – S/4555/17/FL – Fowlmere (The Triangle)

Start the third paragraph with the words "Having taken legal advice..." so that that paragraph now reads as follows:

Having taken legal advice, Councillor Deborah Roberts stood down from the Planning Committee for this item, took no part in the debate and did not vote. Councillor Roberts did however address the Planning Committee as the Local member in opposition to the application.

5. HAUXTON FOOTPATH 5 DIVERSION

The Planning Committee considered a report detailing a proposal to divert part of Public Footpath No.5 in Hauxton.

James Stringer, Cambridgeshire County Council's Asset Information Definitive Map Officer, summarised the legislative and procedural background relating to applications to divert public rights of way, with particular reference to Section 257 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. He also referred to an Agreement made in 2007 whereby the County Council would carry out all

legal and administrative matters while South Cambridgeshire District Council remained the Order Making Authority. If not diverted, Footpath no. 5 would run through some of the proposed plots and potentially inhibit the developer's ability to sell the houses on those plots. Mr. Stringer said that design of the diverted route would be considered when finalising the Adoption Agreement under Section 38 of the Highways Act 1980.

In response to Members' questions, Mr. Stringer confirmed that the proposal was to divert Public Footpath no. 5 onto a route following an estate road that would be adopted by the Local Highways Authority. He also confirmed that the proposal would not have any negative impact on utility providers' apparatus in the vicinity.

Councillor Peter Topping said that matters such as the diversion of public rights of way ought to be resolved at an earlier stage in the overall process.

Councillor Deborah Roberts was minded to refuse the application because it would result in the footpath going through a housing development rather than through the countryside. She said that a stopping-up Order would have been preferable.

The Senior Planning Lawyer reminded the Committee that the housing layout had been approved at an Appeal Hearing.

The Planning Committee resolved, by five votes to four:

1. That South Cambridgeshire District Council, as Order Making Authority, refuses the application for the proposed diversion of part of Public Footpath No. 5 in Hauxton because such diversion was not deemed necessary to enable development to be carried out; and
2. That this refusal be reported to Cambridgeshire County Council, in its capacity as agents for South Cambridgeshire District Council, indicating that an Order be not made.

(Councillors John Batchelor, Cahn, Handley and Hawkins voted to approve the application. Councillors Heylings, Roberts, Topping, Heather Williams and Wright voted to refuse the application. Councillors Fane and Milnes were not present for this item.)

6. LOCAL PLAN AFFORDABLE HOUSING THRESHOLD (POLICY H/10 AFFORDABLE HOUSING)

The Committee received and noted a report about the differences between the affordable housing threshold set out in the Local Plan 2018 (in policy H/10 affordable housing), and that in the new National Planning Policy Framework 2018 (NPPF) and the recommendation that significant weight be given to the national threshold in planning decision making and when planning advice is given.

The Committee agreed that, for the reasons set out in paragraphs 5-16 of the report from the Joint Director for Planning and Economic Development, when determining planning applications and when giving pre-application advice that significant weight will be given to the affordable housing threshold policy contained in the National Planning Policy Framework 2018 (at paragraph 63 and in the glossary).

7. S/1486/18/FL - IMPINGTON (LAND TO THE REAR OF 49-83 (ODDS) IMPINGTON LANE, IMPINGTON, CB24 9NJ)

Members had previously visited the site on 9 October 2018.

Sue Lee (objector), Nick Parkinson (for the applicant), Councillor Brian Ing (Impington Parish Council) and Councillor Steve Hunt (a local Member) addressed the meeting. Councillor Pippa Heylings also spoke in her capacity as another local Member.

Members engaged in debate and some, but not all, identified housing mix and the issue of 'pepper potting affordable housing throughout the development rather than grouping it in one location' as material reasons to refuse the application. There was some support for attributing weight to the emerging Neighbourhood Plan. Members also expressed concerns about public engagement undertaken, the impact on landscape, biodiversity, residential amenity concerns linked to construction phase, highway safety and drainage.

Members felt that further information/amendments were required, and Councillor Heylings proposed that the application should be deferred with more work to be undertaken. This proposal was seconded by Councillor Deborah Roberts and, by ten votes to one with Councillor Nick Wright voting against, the Committee deferred the application.

The Senior Planning Lawyer informed Members that, in the event of an Appeal being lodged for non-determination, the report would be brought back to Committee to identify what its decision would have been, and its reasons.

8. S/1106/18/FL - GREAT ABINGTON (LAND SOUTH OF PAMPISFORD ROAD)

Members visited the site on 13 November 2018.

The case officer corrected the report. In paragraph 29, the words "...are two trees..." should be replaced with "...is one elm tree...". In paragraph 99. The words "...on the public open space..." should be deleted.

Stephen McDonnell (objector), Nick Rust (for the applicant), Councillor Bernie Talbot (Great Abington Parish Council) and Councillor Henry Batchelor (a local Member) addressed the meeting.

Members engaged in debate. They raised the following concerns:

- The site was outside the village framework
- Affordable housing issues
- Conflict with the Local Plan 2018
- Insignificance of the community benefit under the Legal Agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 in terms of the number of dwellings proposed

The Planning Team Leader informed the Committee that the Section 106 Agreement complied fully with the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and that the affordable housing cascade provision was district-wide. This second point was cited to counter an earlier suggestion that the fact that the demand for affordable housing in the village had been met should be seen as a material reason for refusal.

By ten votes to nil (with Councillor Milnes abstaining) the Committee **refused** the application contrary to the recommendation in the report from the Joint Director for Planning and Economic Development. Members agreed the reasons for refusal as being a conflict with Policies S/7 (Development Framework) and S/10 (Group Villages) of the

South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018, and the need to give weight to the emerging Neighbourhood Plan.

9. S/2866/18/VC - LITTLE GRANSDEN (LAND AT GRANSDEN LODGE AIRFIELD, CAMBRIDGE GLIDING CLUB LTD, GRANSDEN LODGE AIRFIELD, LONGSTOWE ROAD,

Members visited the site on 13 November 2018.

The case officer referred to continuing correspondence concerning this application. Little Gransden Parish Council now objected to Condition 4. Great Gransden Parish Council (Huntingdonshire) objected to the Variation of Conditions 4, 6, 7 and 8.

A similar application was being presented to Huntingdonshire District Council.

Noushin Rostami (objector), Richard Brickwood (applicant), Councillor Murden (Little Gransden Parish Council) addressed the meeting. Councillor Dr. Tumi Hawkins also spoke in her capacity as local Member.

Members engaged in debate about the various Conditions subject of the application.

The Committee resolved as follows:

Condition 4	
As amended to read: There shall be no more than 60 aerotows (which shall include self-launching sailplane launches) a day from the application site. This limit may be exceeded on no more than 14 days between 1 April and 30 September each year up to a maximum of 75 aerotows (which shall include self-launching sailplane launches) a day from the application site to accommodate competitions or other exceptional circumstances. Cambridge Gliding Centre, the operating entity of Cambridge Gliding Club Ltd, will electronically notify the Planning Departments of Huntingdonshire District Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council no later 30 days before any proposed event which may exceed the total of 60 aerotows and self-launching sailplanes a day and shall also electronically notify the Parish clerk of the Parishes which are members of the Cambridge Gliding Club Ltd Consultative Council for reference by 30 April advising them of any predictable major competitions, or other such events, for the forthcoming season.	
(Reason - To minimise noise disturbance for adjoining residents in accordance with Policy SC/10 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018).	
Approve 8	Councillors John Batchelor, Cahn, Fane, Handley, HeylingsMilnes, Topping and Wright
Refuse 2	Councillors Roberts and Heather Williams
Abstain 1	Councillor Hawkins
Condition 6	
Approve 9	Councillors John Batchelor, Cahn, Fane, Handley, Heylings, Milnes, Topping, Heather Williams and Wright
Refuse 0	
Abstain 2	Councillors Hawkins and Roberts
Condition 7	
Approve 8	Councillors John Batchelor, Cahn, Fane, Handley, Heylings,

	Milnes,
Refuse 1	Councillor Hawkins
Abstain 2	Councillor Roberts and Heather Williams
Condition 8	
Approve 8	Councillors John Batchelor, Cahn, Fane, Handley, Heylings, Milnes, Topping, Wright
Refuse 1	Councillor Roberts
Abstain 2	Councillors Hawkins and Heather Williams

Councillor Nick Wright left the meeting immediately after the conclusion of S/2866/18/VC - Little Gransden

10. S/2705/18/FL - COTTENHAM (COTTENHAM UNITED SPORTS AND SOCIAL CLUB, KING GEORGE V PLAYING FIELDS, LAMBS LANE)

Members visited the site on 13 November 2018.

The case officer reported verbally that a further 16 letters supporting the application had been received since the report had been written.

George Russell (objector), Lynda Harford (agent for Cottenham Parish Council as applicant) and Councillor Frank Morris (Cottenham Parish Council) addressed the meeting. The Chairman read out written statements from Rosemary (a community supporter) and from Councillors Neil Gough and Eileen Wilson (the two local Members, who also both supported the application).

Those Committee members minded to support the application nevertheless commended the case officer for clearly stating the policy issues leading to his recommendation of refusal. Councillor Deborah Roberts, who was minded to vote for refusal, also praised the case officer, saying he was quite rightly seeking to protect the Local Green Space. Councillor Roberts said that she could think of no very special circumstance such as to justify approval of the application, and urged the Committee not to set a precedent.

Councillor Dr. Tumi Hawkins was minded to support the application which, she said, when some way towards mitigating the harmful effects of previous speculative developments permitted at a time when South Cambridgeshire District Council had been unable to demonstrate a five-year housing land supply. Here was an opportunity to provide the necessary infrastructure at an early stage.

Councillor Heather Williams acknowledged the purpose of the Local Plan but said that the Committee should seek to find very special circumstances in order to approve the application.

Councillor Bill Handley said that the nursery was a much needed facility and would not set a precedent given that every application had to be considered on its own merits.

Councillor Brian Milnes supported the application, suggesting that proximity to the existing school should be viewed as a very special circumstance.

Councillor Peter Topping described the very special circumstance in this instance as being specific to Cottenham.

Councillor Dr. Martin Cahn described the location of the proposed nursery as perfect.

Councillor Peter Fane supported the application by saying it was for the Committee to interpret the meaning of 'very special circumstances'.

The Planning Team Leader stressed the importance of the Committee being satisfied both that there were very special circumstances and that those very special circumstances outweighed any planning harm. A consideration had to be why the nursery had to be built on this Local Green Space.

The Chairman said that the proposal's proximity to existing schools should be seen as a very special circumstance, especially in the context of safety and other benefits to the community.

The Senior Planning Lawyer told Members that they had to be satisfied that the sequential test was robust. The Vice-Chairman said that that test was paramount and that the correct process had to be followed. Councillor Pippa Heylings did point out that the Local Green Space in question had already been compromised.

Noting the absence of any objections from statutory consultees, the Committee approved the application by eight votes to one, contrary to the recommendation in the report from the Joint Director for Planning and Economic Development.

In resolving to approve the application the majority of Members agreed that the proposed development would amount to inappropriate development in the Local Green Space. that such development would, by definition, be harmful to the Local Green Space and that it should not be approved except in very special circumstances.

Members agreed the reasons for approval as being that:

- (a) There would not be any significant additional harm to the Local Green Space over and above the harm that would be caused by the proposal's inappropriateness;
- (b) There would be no significant additional harm to the Local Green Space or the openness of the Local Green Space over and above the harm that would result by reason of the proposed development;
- (c) The proposed development needs to be located in the countryside given, what members had heard from the applicant as to a robust and comprehensive, but unsuccessful, site search conducted over many months and difficulties in finding other suitable sites within Cottenham. Furthermore, given the location of the proposed nursery being in close proximity to the existing pre school and primary school, Members agreed that this arrangement would significantly reduce any detrimental harm upon child safety. As such it would not conflict with Policy S/7 of the Local Development Framework, which states that outside development frameworks, only allocations within Neighbourhood Plans that have come into force and development for agriculture, horticulture, forestry, outdoor recreation and other uses which need to be located in the countryside or where supported by other policies in this plan will be permitted.
- (d) The site is not in a location that would result in significant and unsustainable forms of travel for the proposed use, having regard to its close proximity to the centre of

Cottenham. Again, due to the location of the proposed nursery being in close proximity to the pre school and primary school, Members agreed that this would limit the number of vehicle trips undertaken and therefore somewhat reduce congestion within Cottenham.

- (e) The identified harm by reason of inappropriateness, and the limited additional harm identified above in (b) and (c), would be clearly outweighed by other considerations which collectively would amount to the necessary very special circumstances to support the application being approved. These very special circumstances were significant community benefits in the form of an additional childcare service due to the number of residential development approved in Cottenham, child safety and the reduction in vehicle trips generated.
- (f) Due to the relatively modest size and scale of the proposed nursery building, the proposal would not result in adverse impact upon the character and particular local significance placed on such green areas which make them valued by their local community. Therefore the matter would not be referred to the Secretary of State in this instance. However, the application will be advertised as a Departure from the Local Plan in so far as the proposed development would amount to inappropriate development in the Local Green Space by definition, and is therefore contrary to Policy NH/12 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018.

Approval would be subject to the following Conditions and Informatives:

Conditions

- 1) The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.
(Reason - To ensure that consideration of any future application for development in the area will not be prejudiced by permissions for development, which have not been acted upon.)
- 2) The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans: Drawing Numbers: 3202/2/SD101, 3202/2/SD103, 3202/2/SD104, 3202/2/SD105, 10-7145_ZZ-DR-C1030 Rev P1, 10-7145_ZZ-DR-C1000 Rev P1, 3202/2/SD107.
(Reason - To facilitate any future application to the Local Planning Authority under Section 73 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.)
- 3) Prior to the occupation of the development details of secure and covered cycle storage shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority and shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.
(Reason - To ensure the development is satisfactorily assimilated into the area and respects the surrounding countryside, in accordance with policy HQ/1 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018).
- 4) No demolition or construction works shall commence on site until a traffic management plan has been agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. The principle areas of concern that should be addressed are:
 - (i) Movements and control of muck away lorries (all loading and unloading shall be undertaken off the highway)
 - (ii) Contractor parking, for both phases all such parking shall be within

- the curtilage of the site and not on street
- (iii) Movements and control of all deliveries (all loading and unloading shall be undertaken off the highway) and no deliveries to the site shall be made between the hours of 07:30-09:15 and 13:45-18:00 (term time only) and not between 07:30-09:30 and 15:30-18:00 all other times
- (iv) The control of dust, mud and debris, in relationship to the functioning of the highway and neighbouring dwellings
Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.
(Reason - In the interest of Highways Safety).
- 5) The proposed drive ways will be constructed using a bound material to prevent debris spreading onto the adopted public highway.
(Reason – To maintain the safe and effective operation of the highway).
- 6) The proposed driveway shall be constructed so that its falls and levels are such that no private water from the site drains across or onto the adopted public highway.
(Reason - To maintain the safe and effective operation of the highway).
- 7) Prior to the use of the development hereby approved, a Car Park Management Plan shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for its approval. The management plan should include the specification and location of traffic bollards and the segregation gates. The facilities shall be managed in accordance with the agreed details.
(Reason - To ensure the development does not result in any adverse impact upon the safety of users as well as the safe and effective operation of the adopted highway and to ensure more sustainable modes of transport are used in accordance with HQ/1 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018).
- 8) All ecological measures and/or works shall be carried out in accordance with the details contained in Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (Greenlight, July 2018) as already submitted with the planning application and agreed in principle with the Local Planning Authority prior to determination.
(Reason - To enhance ecological interests in accordance with policies NE/6 and HQ/1 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018).
- 9) No development above slab level shall commence until a scheme of biodiversity enhancement shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for its written approval. The approved scheme shall be fully implemented within an agreed timescale unless otherwise agreed in writing.
(Reason - To be in accordance with paragraphs 170, 174 and 175 of The National Planning Policy Framework, which expects development to provide for biodiversity and this, can be achieved at this site through enhancement measures as set out in the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA)).
- 10) The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details submitted within the drainage strategy document (Peter Dann Consulting Engineers 2018).

(Reason – To ensure a satisfactory method of surface water drainage and to prevent the increased risk of flooding in accordance with policies SC/8 and SC/9 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018).

- 11) No construction site machinery or plant shall be operated, no noisy works shall be carried out and no construction related deliveries taken at or despatched from the site before 0800 hours and after 1800 hours on weekdays, before 0800 hours and after 1300 hours on Saturdays, nor at any time on Sundays and Bank Holidays, unless otherwise previously agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.
(Reason - To minimise noise disturbance for adjoining residents in accordance with Policy HQ/1 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018).
- 12) No external lighting shall be provided or installed within the site other than in accordance with a scheme which has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
(Reason - To minimise the effects of light pollution on the surrounding area in accordance with policy HQ/1 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018).
- 13) The development, hereby permitted, shall only be in use between 06:30 hours and 19:00 hours Monday to Friday and between 06:30 and 12:00pm on Saturdays. The development shall not be in use on Sundays or bank holidays.
(Reason - To safeguard the amenity of the occupiers of neighbouring residential dwellings in accordance with policy HQ/1 of the South Cambridgeshire District Council emerging Local Plan 2018).
- 14) Prior to the use of the approved development, a scheme for the provision and location of fire hydrants to serve the development to a standard recommended by the Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue Service shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall not be occupied until the approved scheme has been implemented.
(Reason - To ensure an adequate water supply is available for emergency use.)

Informatives

- 1) The granting of a planning permission does not constitute a permission or licence to a developer to carry out any works within, or disturbance of, or interference with, the Public Highway, and that a separate permission must be sought from the Highway Authority for such works.
- 2) If during the development contamination not previously identified is found to be present at the site, such as putrescible waste, visual or physical evidence of contamination of fuels/oils, backfill or asbestos containing materials, then no further development (unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority) shall be carried out until the developer has submitted, and obtained written approval from the Local Planning

Authority for, a remediation strategy detailing how this unsuspected contamination shall be dealt with. The remediation strategy shall be implemented as approved to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.

- 3) The applicant should be aware of their responsibilities in regards to mitigating any foul water drainage on the site and is therefore advised to apply to Building Regulations.
- 4) There shall be no burning of any waste or other materials on the site, without prior consent from the environmental health department.
- 5) Should driven pile foundations be proposed, then before works commence, a statement of the method for construction of these foundations shall be submitted and agreed by the District Environmental Health Officer so that noise and vibration can be controlled.

(Councillors John Batchelor, Cahn, Fane, Handley, Hawkins, Heylings, Milnes, Topping and Heather Williams voted to approve the application. Councillor Roberts supported the officer recommendation and voted to refuse the application. Councillor Wright had left the meeting and did not vote.)

**Councillors Brian Milnes and
Peter Topping left the meeting
immediately after the
conclusion of S/2705/18/FL -
Cottenham.**

11. S/1532/18/FL - COTTENHAM (FRANKLIN GARDENS)

Liam Flatters (Planned Works Surveyor, South Cambridgeshire District Council) addressed the meeting on behalf of the applicant.

The principal issue related to unlawful car parking that had the effect of inhibiting the use of formal parking bays.

By six votes to one, the Committee **approved** the application subject to the Conditions and Informative set out in the report from the Joint Director for Planning and Economic Development, the final wording of which being agreed by officers in consultation with the Committee Chairman and Vice-Chairman prior to the issue of planning permission.

(Councillors John Batchelor, Handley, Hawkins, Heylings, Roberts and Heather Williams voted to approve the application. Councillor Cahn voted to refuse the application. Councillor Fane did not vote. Councillors Milnes, Topping and Wright had previously left the meeting and did not vote.)

12. ENFORCEMENT REPORT

The Committee **received and noted** an Update on enforcement action.

13. APPEALS AGAINST PLANNING DECISIONS AND ENFORCEMENT ACTION

Members **received and noted** a report on Appeals against planning decisions and

enforcement action.

The Meeting ended at 4.15 p.m.
